

1 HAMILTON CANDEE (Cal. SBN 111376)
2 BARBARA JANE CHISHOLM (Cal. SBN 224656)
3 CORINNE JOHNSON (Cal. SBN 287385)
4 ALTSHULER BERZON LLP
5 177 Post St., Suite 300
San Francisco, CA 94108
Telephone: (415) 421-7151
Facsimile: (415) 362-8064
Email: hcandee@altber.com; bchisholm@altber.com;
cjohnson@altber.com;

6 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Golden State Salmon Association, Natural Resources
7 Defense Council, Inc., Defenders of Wildlife, and Bay.Org d/b/a The Bay Institute

8 GLEN H. SPAIN (Cal. SBN 88097)
P.O. Box 11170
9 Eugene, OR 97440-3370
Telephone: (541) 689-2000
10 Email: fish1ifr@aol.com

11 Attorney for Plaintiffs Pacific Coast Federation of Fishermen's Associations and
12 Institute for Fisheries Resources

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

16 PACIFIC COAST FEDERATION OF
FISHERMEN'S ASSOCIATIONS, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

Defendants

Case No. 1:20-cv-00431-JLT-EPG

**STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED)
ORDER OF DISMISSAL**

RECITALS

Whereas, PCFFA Plaintiffs filed this action against Federal Defendants on December 2, 2019, challenging the adoption of a pair of biological opinions (“2019 Biological Opinions”) issued pursuant to the Endangered Species Act (“ESA”) regarding the long-term operation of the Central Valley Project and State Water Project (“Water Projects”), and the associated 2019 Environmental Impact Statement (“EIS”) and 2020 Record of Decision issued under the National Environmental Policy Act, (“NEPA”), *see* Dkts. 1, 52;

Whereas, multiple Defendant-Intervenors intervened in the case to defend the 2019 Biological Opinions and 2020 Record of Decision;

Whereas, a related case challenging the 2019 Biological Opinions and 2020 Record of Decision, *California Natural Resources Agency v. Ross*, No. 1:20-cv-00426 (“CNRA”), was filed on February 20, 2020, and the Court has held joint proceedings in the two related cases;

Whereas, on May 12, 2020, the Court granted in part and denied in part *PCFFA* Plaintiffs' motion for preliminary injunction, Dkt. 173 at 25; Dkt. 174 at 35;

Whereas, on September 30, 2021, Federal Defendants reinitiated consultation on the challenged 2019 Biological Opinions, *see* Dkt. 394 at 14;

Whereas, on March 11, 2022, the Court granted Federal Defendants' motion for voluntary remand without vacatur of the 2019 Biological Opinions and 2020 Record of Decision and stayed the litigation through September 30, 2022 so that Federal Defendants could engage in the reconsultation process, *see id.* at 122; Dkt. 395;

Whereas, the Court subsequently continued the stay of the litigation, *see* Dkts. 462, 463, 483, 511, 512, 551;

Whereas, Federal Defendants published new biological opinions regarding the long-term operation of the Water Projects in November and December 2024 (“2024 Biological Opinions”) and issued a new Record of Decision on December 19, 2024, *see* Dkts. 537, 538;

Whereas, on January 24, 2025, the President of the United States issued Executive Order 14191, which directs the Bureau of Reclamation to “take all available measures” to “maximize

1 water delivery... including but not limited to the issuance of a new Record of Decision
2 maximizing water deliveries and consistent with the [previous] 2020 Record of Decision," Dkt.
3 550 at 3;

4 Whereas, Federal Defendants have moved to dismiss this action, arguing that the issuance
5 of the 2024 Biological Opinions and 2024 Record of Decision moots *PCFFA* Plaintiffs' claims,
6 Dkts. 554, 554-1;

7 Whereas, Federal Defendants' motion represents that "Reclamation is not currently relying
8 on the 2019 Biological Opinions or 2019 EIS, and it does not intend to do so in the future," Dkt.
9 554-1 at 14, and that "Reclamation is not currently relying on the challenged [2019] NEPA and
10 ESA documents and recognizes that reverting to them 'is not practical,'" *id.* at 18 (quoting Dkt.
11 554-2 (Nickels Decl.));

12 Whereas, *PCFFA* Plaintiffs seek to voluntarily dismiss this action without prejudice,

13 Whereas, *PCFFA* Plaintiffs reserve all rights to raise the claims and arguments they have
14 raised in this action in the future, including if Federal Defendants return to operations set forth in
15 the 2019 Biological Opinions or 2019 EIS;

16 Whereas, *PCFFA* Plaintiffs reserve the right to seek attorneys' fees and costs with respect
17 to this action and Federal Defendants reserve the right to oppose attorneys' fees and costs with
18 respect to this action;

19 Whereas, Defendant-Intervenors reserve the right to oppose attorneys' fees and costs that
20 *PCFFA* Plaintiffs seek to recover against Defendant-Intervenors, if any;

21 Whereas, all parties who have appeared in this *PCFFA* action are signatories to this
22 stipulation;

23 Whereas, this stipulation does not address the *CNRA* action;

24 **STIPULATION**

25 NOW THEREFORE, *PCFFA* Plaintiffs, Federal Defendants, and Defendant-Intervenors
26 hereby stipulate to dismissal of this action without prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil
27 Procedure 41(a), and respectfully request that the Court enter the accompanying proposed order
28

1 dismissing PCFFA Plaintiffs' action and setting a briefing schedule for any motion by PCFFA
2 Plaintiffs for attorneys' fees and costs.

3

4 Respectfully submitted,

5 Dated: December 17, 2025

/s/ Hamilton Candee

HAMILTON CANDEE (Cal. SBN 111376)
BARBARA JANE CHISHOLM (Cal. SBN 224656)
CORINNE JOHNSON (Cal. SBN 287385)
ALTSCHULER BERZON LLP
177 Post St., Suite 300
San Francisco, CA 94108
Telephone: (415) 421-7151
Facsimile: (415) 362-8064

10

11

12

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs Golden State Salmon Association,
Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc., Defenders of
Wildlife, and Bay.Org d/b/a The Bay Institute*

13

14

15

16

17

/s/ Glen H. Spain

GLEN H. SPAIN (Cal. SBN 88097)
P.O. Box 11170
Eugene, OR 97440-3370
Telephone: (541) 689-2000
Email: fish1ifr@aol.com

*Attorney for Plaintiffs Pacific Coast Federation of Fishermen's
Associations and Institute for Fisheries Resources*

18

19

20

21

ADAM R.F. GUSTAFSON, Principal Deputy Assistant
Attorney General
U.S. Department of Justice
Environment & Natural Resources Division

22

23

24

25

26

27

/s/ Kamela A. Caschette

KAMELA A. CASCHETTE, Trial Attorney (New York Bar)
CAITLYN COOK, Trial Attorney (MD Bar No. 2112140244)
150 M St. NE, Washington, D.C. 20002
EMILY A. POLACHEK, Trial Attorney (MN Bar No.
0390973)
5600 American Blvd. W., Suite 650, Bloomington, MN 55437
ROMNEY S. PHILPOTT, III, Senior Trial Attorney (CO Bar
No. 35112)
999 18th Street, North Tower – Suite 600, Denver, CO 80202

28

Attorneys for Federal Defendants

1 PARIS KINCAID WASIEWSKI, LLP
2 /s/ Timothy Wasiewski
3 TIMOTHY WASIEWSKI
4 Attorneys for Intervenor-Defendant South San Joaquin
Irrigation Dist.

5 VAN NESS FELDMAN LLP
6 /s/ Jenna R. Mandell-Rice
7 JENNA R. MANDELL-RICE, Pro Hac Vice
8 Attorney for Intervenor-Defendant State Water Contractors

9 STOEL RIVES LLP
10 By: /s/ Kristen Castaños
11 KRISTEN CASTAÑOS
12 Attorneys for Defendant-Intervenor San Juan Water District

13 KRONICK, MOSKOVITZ, TIEDEMANN & GIRARD
14 A Professional Corporation
15 /s/ Daniel J. O'Hanlon
16 Daniel J. O'Hanlon
17 Attorneys for San Luis & Delta-Mendota Water Authority and
18 Westlands Water District

19 SOMACH SIMMONS & DUNN
20 A Professional Corporation
21 By: /s/ Andrew Hitchings
22 ANDREW HITCHINGS
23 Attorneys for Defendant-Intervenors Glenn-Colusa Irrigation
24 District; Reclamation District No. 1004; Conaway
25 Preservation Group, LLC; Anderson-Cottonwood Irrigation
26 District; David and Alice teVelde Family Trust; Pelger
27 Road 1700, LLC; City of Redding; and Knights Landing
28 Investors, LLC

DOWNEY BRAND LLP
/s/ Meredith E. Nikkel
MEREDITH E. NIKKEL
Attorneys for Intervenor-Defendants Tehama-Colusa Canal
Authority; Reclamation District No. 108; Beverly F. Andreotti,
Et Al.; Carter Mutual Water Company; Henry D. Richter, Et
Al.; Howald Farms, Inc.; Maxwell Irrigation District; Meridian
Farms Water Company; Natomas Central Mutual Water
Company; Oji Brothers Farm, Inc.; Pelger Mutual Water
Company; Pleasant Grove-Verona Mutual Water Company;
Princeton-Codora-Glenn Irrigation District; Provident
Irrigation District; River Garden Farms Company; Sutter
Mutual Water Company; Tisdale Irrigation and Drainage

District; and Windswept Land and Livestock Company.

BKS LAW FIRM, PC

/s/ Jennifer T. Buckman

JENNIFER T. BUCKMAN

*Attorneys for Contra Costa Water District, City of Folsom, and
City of Roseville*

KAPLAN KIRSCH LLP

/s/ Matthew G. Adams

Matthew G. Adams

Attorneys for Friant Water Authority and Arvin-Edison Water Storage District

TIM O'LAUGHLIN, PLC

/s/ Tim P. O'Laughlin

Tim P. O'Laughlin

Attorneys for Oakdale Irrigation District

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the parties' stipulation, the Court hereby ORDERS that this action is DISMISSED without prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a). Any application for fees or costs by *PCFFA* Plaintiffs is due within 60 days after final judgment in this action is entered, provided that any party may seek an extension for good cause.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **December 18, 2025**

Jennifer L. Thurston
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE